

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND	2-1
2.1 Site Hydrogeology	2-1
2.1.1 Site Setting and Regional Hydrogeology.....	2-1
2.1.2 Muscoy Area Hydrogeology.....	2-2
2.1.3 Hydrostratigraphic Zones	2-2
2.1.4 Extraction and Monitoring Well Completion	2-3
2.2 Facilities Description	2-3
2.2.1 Existing Facilities	2-3
2.2.2 Construction of Muscoy OU Facilities	2-4
3.0 PROGRESS REPORT OBJECTIVES	3-1
3.1 Operational Evaluation	3-1
3.2 Performance Evaluation.....	3-2
3.2.1 Flow Rate Performance	3-3
3.2.2 Flow Performance.....	3-3
3.2.3 Contaminant Performance	3-3
4.0 METHODOLOGY	4-1
4.1 Operations Evaluation Methodology	4-1
4.1.1 Monthly Inspection	4-1
4.1.2 Water-Level Measurement	4-1
4.1.3 Chemical Monitoring Methodology	4-2
4.2 Performance Evaluation Methodology	4-3
4.2.1 Flow Rate Performance Methodology	4-3
4.2.2 Flow Performance Methodology	4-3
4.2.3 Contaminant Performance Methodology	4-4
5.0 MONTHLY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5-1
5.1 Operational Evaluation Results.....	5-1
5.1.1 Monthly Inspection	5-1
5.1.2 Treatment Plant Operations Data.....	5-1
5.1.3 Extraction Well Operations Data.....	5-1
5.1.4 Water-Level Monitoring Data	5-2
5.1.5 Treatment System Chemical Monitoring.....	5-2
5.2 Performance Evaluation Results.....	5-3
5.2.1 Flow Rate Performance	5-3
5.2.2 Flow Performance.....	5-3
5.2.3 Contaminant Performance	5-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6-1
6.1 Conclusions.....	6-1
6.1.1 Operational Performance	6-1
6.1.2 Treatment Plant and Well Monitoring	6-1
6.1.3 Flow Rate Performance	6-1
6.1.4 Flow Performance.....	6-1
6.1.5 Contaminant Performance	6-1
6.2 Recommendations.....	6-2
6.2.1 Operational Performance	6-2
6.2.2 Treatment Plant and Well Monitoring	6-2
6.2.3 Flow Rate Performance	6-2
6.2.4 Flow Performance.....	6-2
6.2.5 Contaminant Performance	6-2
7.0 ACTIVITIES IN THE NEXT MONITORING PERIOD	7-1
8.0 REFERENCES	8-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	FINAL INSPECTIONS – ATTACHMENT A
APPENDIX B	MONTHLY PERFORMANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST – OCTOBER 2005
APPENDIX C	SCADA INVENTORY AND OPERATIONAL ISSUES (SBMWD)
APPENDIX D	MUSCOY OU OPERATIONS AND TREATMENT REPORT (SBMWD) – OCTOBER 2005
APPENDIX E	MUSCOY OU EXTRACTION AND MONITORING WELL HYDROGRAPHS
APPENDIX F	MUSCOY OU CONCENTRATION PLOTS FOR INDIVIDUAL WELLS
APPENDIX G	MUSCOY OU CONCENTRATION PLOTS FOR WELL GROUPS

LIST OF TABLES (located at the end of this report)

- Table 2-1 Newmark and Muscoy OU RD/RA Timeline
Table 2-2 Muscoy OU Extraction Well Construction Details
Table 2-3 Muscoy OU Monitoring Well Construction Details
Table 2-4 Hydrostratigraphic Zones for Newmark and Muscoy Water-Level and Plume Delineation
- Table 3-1 Muscoy Project Event and Deliverable Tracker
- Table 4-1 Groundwater Elevation Collection Methods and Frequencies
Table 4-2 Muscoy Plume Extraction System Performance Monitoring Sampling Locations and Rationale
- Table 5-1 19th Street Treatment Plant Operational Issue Status
Table 5-2 Encanto Park Booster Pump Station Operational Issue Status
Table 5-3 Extraction Well Operational Issue Status
Table 5-4 Monitoring Well Operational Issue Status
Table 5-5 Summary of Muscoy OU O&M - GAC Treatment Plant
Table 5-6 Summary of Treatment Plant Flow Data and Mass Removal Estimates
Table 5-7 Summary of Muscoy OU O&M - Extraction Wells
Table 5-8 Summary of Extraction Well Flow Data
Table 5-9 Three-Month Rolling Average Extraction Volume and Extraction Rate Calculations
Table 5-10 Summary of Newmark OU O&M – Water-Level Monitoring
Table 5-11 Muscoy Plume Groundwater Elevations Used for Contouring
Table 5-12 Extraction and Monitoring Well Monitoring Results - PCE and TCE
Table 5-13 Treatment Plant Monitoring Results - PCE and TCE
Table 5-14 Summary of Compliance with Performance Criteria

LIST OF FIGURES (located at the end of this report)

- Figure 1-1 Operable Unit Locations, Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, San Bernardino, California
- Figure 2-1 Location of Major Features, Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, San Bernardino, California
- Figure 4-1 Flowline Capture in Shallow Aquifer
Figure 4-2 Flowline Capture in Intermediate Zone
- Figure 5-1 Muscoy Pumping Rates
Figure 5-2 Groundwater Elevations in Extraction Well Piezometers
Figure 5-3 Groundwater Elevations in Monitoring Wells

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

bgs	below ground surface
BNSF	Burlington Northern and Santa Fe
CD	Consent Decree
CD/SOW	Statement of Work of the Consent Decree
The City	City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department
COC	contaminant of concern
CSM	Conceptual site model
DHS	California Department of Health Services
DTSC	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
E2	E2 Consulting Engineers, Inc.
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPBPS	Encanto Park Booster Pump Station
FS	feasibility study
ft ² /day	square feet per day
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
GAC	granular activated carbon
GIS	geographical information system
gpm	gallons per minute
GPTRAC	general particle tracking module
IRA	Interim Remedial Actions
IROD	Interim Record of Decision
LTMP	long-term monitoring program
MCL	maximum contaminant level
msl	mean sea level
µg/L	micrograms per liter
Muni	San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District
O&F	operational and functional
O&M	operation and maintenance
OSAP	Operation Sampling and Analysis Plan
OU	operable unit
PCE	tetrachloroethene
PDB	passive diffusion bag
ppb	parts per billion

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
RA	remedial action
RAC	Response Action Contract
RD	remedial design
RI	remedial investigation
RTU	remote telemetry unit
SBMWD	City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department
SBVMWD	San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District
SCADA	supervisory control and data acquisition
Secor	Secor International, Inc.
SOW	Statement of Work
TCE	trichloroethene
TER	target extraction rate
URS	URS Group, Inc.
USGS	United States Geological Survey
V	Version
VOC	volatile organic compound